

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	. CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,842	02/09/2004	Mark A. Monson	KARBO-3	1650
	7590 06/27/2007	EXAMINER		
STEVEN C. SCHNEDLER CARTER SCHNEDLER & MONTEITH, PA			GRAHAM, GARY K	
56 CENTRAL PO BOX 2985	AVE., SUITE 101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ASHEVILLE,	NC 28802		1744	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			06/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s	;)		
Office Action Summary		10/775,842	MONSON, M	MARK A.		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Gary K. Graham	1744			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COM 36(a). In no event, however will apply and will expire SIX , cause the application to be	MUNICATION.  The may a reply be timely filed  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	of this communication.		
Status			•			
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	·			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ <b>Applicati</b> 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 23-30 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,2,10,11,14 and 15 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 3-9,12,13 and 16-22 is/are objected to Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) inc	on from consideration.  r election requirement  r.  epted or b) □ object drawing(s) be held in ion is required if the consideration.	ent. ted to by the Examiner. abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85 trawing(s) is objected to. See	37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 20040209.	5) <u> </u>	erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date tice of Informal Patent Applicatio ner:	on		

Art Unit: 1744

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 10, 11, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yehia (US patent application publication 2003/0172481) in view of Litt (US patent 4,133,070).

The publication to Yehia discloses the invention, a hand held tool for scarifying tubing ends, substantially as is claimed, including a body (4) having a first scarifying portion at one end and a rotatable handle (12,14,16) at the other. The scarifying portion has both inner (10) and outer (8) scarifying brushes.

The publication to Yehia discloses all of the above recited subject matter with the exception of there being a second scarifying portion with inner and outer scarifying brushes, which are of different diameters than the first portion.

The patent to Litt discloses a hand held tool (fig.4) for scarifying tubing ends, including a body (E) having a first scarifying portion (84,F) at one end and a second scarifying portion (86,G) at the other. The portions are of different sizes to enable scarifying different diameter tubing. The scarifying portions have both inner (10) and outer (8) scarifying brushes.

It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art to provide a second scarifying portion to the body of Yehia, as clearly suggested by Litt, to enhance the functionality of the Yehia tool. Such would enable scarifying different diameter tubing with one tool. As Litt suggests placing the different scarifying portions on opposite ends of the tool, it would be obvious to place a second portion on Yehia in like manner to achieve a well balanced tool. Further, even if the second portion is placed in a manner next to the first portion at an equal distance from the crank, that portion of the body interconnecting the first and second scarifying portions will act as an intermediate portion.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-9, 12-13 and 16-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary K. Graham whose telephone number is 571-272-1274. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday (6:30-4:00).

Art Unit: 1744

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys J. Corcoran can be reached on 571-272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gary K Graham Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

Som Soul